UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCE

Ex parte WALTER TAKEO YAGYU

Application 10/020,275

MAILED

AUG 0 8 2006

PAT. & T.M OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) on July 24, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

On March 22, 2006, an Examiner's Answer was mailed. A review of the Examiner's Answer reveals that it is not in compliance with the headings as required under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

An in-depth review of the Examiner's Answer mailed on March 22, 2006, reveals that under the heading Evidence Relied Upon, the prior art relied on was not listed. The MPEP 1207.02(A) states:

Application 10/020,275

- A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:
 - (8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and, in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

Proper correction of the Examiner's Answer is required.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is return to the Examiner:

- 1) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed March 22, 2006, and issue a revised Examiner's Answer in accordance with the new rules; and
- 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCE

DALE M. SHAW

Deputy Chief Appeal Administrator

(571)272-9797

DMS/pgc

cc: Jacobson & Johnson
One West Water Street,
Suite 285
St. Paul, MN 55107